UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,552	01/17/2006	Luigi D'Elia	279164US0XPCT	3871
22850 7590 10/16/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			FERGUSON, CHANTEL L	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	A P C N.	A P (-)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/551,552	D'ELIA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHANTEL FERGUSON- GRAHAM	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	January 2006.				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject to restriction and subject	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ry (PTO-413) Date				
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/16/2006</u> .		Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/551,552 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

1. This is the initial Office action based on the 10/551552 application filed January 17, 2006.

2. Claims 1- 6 are pending and have been fully considered; claims 7-18 have not been considered.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 11 9(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 7-18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims should refer to other claims in the alternative and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,552 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being obvious over WESTFALL (US PG PUB 20020116868).

Regarding claim 1, WESTFALL teaches a fuel to be used in an internal combustion engine (paragraph 171); comprising an emulsion between water and a liquid hydrocarbon, and an anti-cavitation additive, including a copolymer comprising units containing at least one carboxylic group and units deriving from at least one monomer having an ethylene unsaturation, and wherein at least a part of the carboxylic groups is in the form of one derivative such as a salt or nitrocompounds (paragraph 125 -133 and claims 1 and 6).

WESTFALL does not disclose proportions of the constituents but they are implicitly comprised in the ranges 20-80 %.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the fuel of WESTFALL by incorporating selected components of said composition.

Regarding claim 2, WESTFALL teaches hydrocarbyl substituents of these acylating agents have number average molecular weights of about 700 to about 3000 (paragraph 110).

Regarding claim 3 and 4, WESTFALL teaches a water-soluble compound selected from the group consisting of ammonium salts in combinations with (i), (ii), (iii), (v), and (vii) (paragraph 11-17).

Regarding claim 5, WESTFALL teaches the fuel-soluble product (i) may be at least one fuel-soluble product made by reacting at least one hydrocarbyl-substituted carboxylic acid with an alkanol amine (paragraph 109).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,552 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Regarding claim 6, WESTFALL teaches amines useful for reacting with the acylating agent to form the product (i) including but are not limited to amines that are primary, secondary or tertiary amines (paragraph 113).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHANTEL FERGUSON-GRAHAM whose telephone number is (571)270-5563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chantel Ferguson-Graham
Chemical Examiner
Art Unit 1797
//Cephia D. Toomer//
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Application/Control Number: 10/551,552

Page 5

Art Unit: 1797